

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about September 20 and October 1, 1946, by B. Ostroff, from Pittsburgh, Pa.

**PRODUCT:** *Ramol*. 1 drum at Salem, Ohio, and 1 drum at Cleveland, Ohio. Each drum contained 30 gallons. Examination showed that the product was mineral oil, U. S. P.

**LABEL, IN PART:** "Ramol No. 350 U. S. P."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Misbranding, Section 502 (e) (1), the article was not designated solely by a name recognized in an official compendium, and its label failed to bear the common or usual name of the article, i. e., mineral oil.

**DISPOSITION:** December 16, 1946, and January 9, 1947. No claimant having appeared, judgments of condemnation were entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

### DRUGS ACTIONABLE BECAUSE OF FAILURE TO BEAR ACCURATE STATEMENTS OF THE QUANTITY OF THE CONTENTS

**2149. Misbranding of Compound Flaxseed and Wild Cherry Cough Syrup and White Pine and Tar Compound. U. S. v. 10 Cases, etc.** (F. D. C. No. 22299. Sample Nos. 57664-H, 57665-H, 57670-H, 57674-H, 57687-H, 57688-H.)

**LABEL FILED:** February 21, 1947, District of Maine.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about November 7, 1946, by the Boston Drug and Chemical Co., from Boston, Mass.

**PRODUCT:** *Cough syrup*. 10 cases containing 480 bottles and 15 cases containing 864 bottles at Portland, Maine.

**LABEL, IN PART:** "Compound Flaxseed and Wild Cherry Cough Syrup [or "White Pine and Tar Compound"] Contents 3 Fluid Ounces The Caron Company Distributor Portland, Maine."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Misbranding, Section 502 (b) (2), the labels of the articles failed to bear accurate statements of the quantity of the contents, since both products were short-volume.

**DISPOSITION:** April 15, 1947. The Boston Drug and Chemical Co., Brookline, Mass., claimant, having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the products were ordered released under bond, conditioned that they be relabeled or that the containers be refilled so as to comply with the requirements of the law, under the supervision of the Federal Security Agency.

**2150. Misbranding of Lucille Laner's Pressing Oil and Lucille Laner's Tar Treatment. U. S. v. 247 Tins \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 19445. Sample Nos. 12759-H, 12760-H, 56768-H, 56769-H.)**

**LABEL FILED:** March 18, 1946, District of Massachusetts.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about December 1, 1945, by Madam Lillian, from New York, N. Y.

**PRODUCT:** 247 2-ounce tins of *Lucille Laner's Pressing Oil* and *Lucille Laner's Tar Treatment* at Roxbury, Mass. The product was shipped unlabeled. The tins contained approximately 1½ ounces, and were labeled "2 Oz." after receipt by the consignee.

**LABEL, IN PART:** "Lucille Laner's Pressing Oil," or "Lucille Laner's Tar Treatment."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Misbranding, Section 502 (b) (1) and (2), (when shipped) the article failed to bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer, packer, or distributor, and an accurate statement of the quantity of the contents.

The article was alleged also to be misbranded under the provisions of the law applicable to cosmetics, as reported in notices of judgment on cosmetics, No. 146.

**DISPOSITION:** April 29, 1946. No claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.